



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/775,387	01/31/2001	Herbert F. Cattell	10010009-1	7825	
22878 75	90 04/01/2004		EXAM	INER	
AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC. INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION, LEGAL DEPT. P.O. BOX 7599 M/S DL429			ALLEN, MA	ALLEN, MARIANNE P	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1631	1631	
LOVELAND, (CO 80537-0599		DATE MAILED: 04/01/2004	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/775,387	CATTELL, HERBERT F.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Marianne P. Allen	1631				
The MAILING DATE of this communic Period for Reply	ation appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statules are provided to the period for reply with the set or extended period for reply with any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a nication. days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thir tory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON II, by statute, cause the application to become AI	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for	·	•				
closed in accordance with the practice	e under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.E	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-3,5-11,13,25,27-30,32,33 a	and 40-45 is/are pending in the ap	plication.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are	, ,	•				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-11,13,25,27-30,32,33 a</u>	Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-11,13,25,27-30,32,33 and 40-45</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	on and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are:		by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objecti						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	ne correction is required if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to t	by the Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	or foreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	от	3 (.) (.) (.)				
1. Certified copies of the priority d	ocuments have been received.					
	ocuments have been received in A	Application No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of	the priority documents have beer	received in this National Stage				
application from the Internation	al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action	for a list of the certified copies not	received.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	· ·	Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT		(s)/Mail Date. <u>20040225</u> . Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 11/12/03 has been entered.

Claims 1-3, 5-11, 13, 25, 27-30, 32-33, and 40-45 are under consideration.

Applicant's arguments filed 11/12/03 have been fully considered but they are not fully persuasive.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 1-3, 5-11, 13, 25, 27-29, 32-33, and 40-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1, 9, and 30 recite "information on the function of a target of the array or its complement."

Claim 6 is confusing in that claim 1 already appears to require the association set forth in this claim. As such, it appears that the claim is not further limiting. Clarification is requested.

Note that claim 28 has been amended to recite the same language that appears to be redundant to step (c) of this claim. Clarification is requested.

Art Unit: 1631

Claim 9 is confusing in containing a period (".") in the middle of the claim. This appears to have been an editing error.

Claims 32-33 remain confusing in not clearly further limiting the apparatus of claim 30. The limitations of these claims concern the data communicated but do not further define the apparatus, particularly the processor, of claim 30.

Applicant argues that claims 32-33 do further define the processor. This is not agreed with as the type of data being processed doesn't change nor is a new piece of data required to be processed.

Claims 30 and 40 are confusing in reciting "indication of a suspected feature error." It is not known what information must be communicated to meet this limitation. The meets and bounds of what is intended do not appear to be disclosed. Applicant is improperly reading limitations from the specification into the claims. Furthermore, these are non-limiting examples and do not define what the claim is intended to embrace.

Claims 40-43 and 44 are confusing in referring to the method of a preceding claim when the claims upon which they ultimately depend (claims 25 and 30) are directed to apparatus.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Claims 1-3, 5-11, 13, 25, 27-29, 37-39, and 44-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Muraca (U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0168639).

This rejection is maintained for reasons of record.

Claims 1, 9, and 25 as amended require "wherein the retrieval of the biological function data includes: communicating the identifier signal to a processor which retrieves data on the identity of the biopolymers based on the read identifier; and communicating the identity data on

Art Unit: 1631

the biopolymers to a processor which retrieves the biological function data for one or more of the biopolymers from a memory based on the retrieved identity data." This limitation is met by Muraca as one of ordinary skill in the art would recognize recovery of the biological function data from the appropriate relational databases would require such communication to a processor. See at least paragraphs [0028-0030].

Claim 28 as amended requires that "the processor associates information obtained from reading the array with the retrieved biological function data." Muraca clearly associates such information.

Applicant argues that a tissue array is not embraced by the claims. However, page 8 of the specification indicates that an array "includes one, two or three dimensional arrangement of addressable regions bearing a particular chemical moiety." Again on page 8, the specification indicates that an array is addressable if it has multiple regions of different moieties such that a region at a particular predetermined location on the array will detect a particular target. The tissue arrays of Muraca meet these definitions.

Claims 1-3, 5-11, 13, 25, 27-29, 37-39, and 44-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Doung et al. (U.S. Patent Application Publication 2002/0177135).

This rejection is maintained for reasons of record.

Claims 1, 9, and 25 as amended require "wherein the retrieval of the biological function data includes: communicating the identifier signal to a processor which retrieves data on the identity of the biopolymers based on the read identifier; and communicating the identity data on the biopolymers to a processor which retrieves the biological function data for one or more of the

Art Unit: 1631

biopolymers from a memory based on the retrieved identity data." This limitation is met by Doung et al. as one of ordinary skill in the art would recognize recovery of the biological function data from the appropriate databases would require such communication to a processor. See at least paragraphs [0330-0358].

Claim 28 as amended requires that "the processor associates information obtained from reading the array with the retrieved biological function data." Doung et al. clearly associates such information.

Claims 1-3, 5-11, 25, 27, 29, 37-39, and 44-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Schembri (GB 2,319,833).

This rejection is maintained for reasons of record.

Claims 1, 9, and 25 as amended require "wherein the retrieval of the biological function data includes: communicating the identifier signal to a processor which retrieves data on the identity of the biopolymers based on the read identifier; and communicating the identity data on the biopolymers to a processor which retrieves the biological function data for one or more of the biopolymers from a memory based on the retrieved identity data." This limitation is met by Schembri as one of ordinary skill in the art would recognize recovery of the biological function data from the appropriate linked and tagged files would require such communication to a processor. See at least pages 6 and 11.

Claim 28 as amended requires that "the processor associates information obtained from reading the array with the retrieved biological function data." Schembri clearly associates such information.

Art Unit: 1631

Claims 25, 28, and 45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ellson et al. (US 2002/0086319 A1).

Ellson et al. teaches an apparatus comprising an array reader which can read an identifier carried on the array and a processor which retrieves biological function data associated with one or more of the biopolymers based on an identifier. The biopolymers can be polynucleotides. See at least abstract, claims, and figures. Ellson et al. has priority to 13 November 2000 for this disclosure. Note that the array reader would be capable of reading the array before or after exposure. The array reader is not altered.

Conclusion

No claim is allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marianne P. Allen whose telephone number is 571-272-0712. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 5:30 am - 1:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Woodward can be reached on 571-272-0722. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.daspto.gov. Should you have question as system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Marianne P. Allen
Primary Examiner 3/31/14

Art Unit 1631